FILED
Mary Ellen Dunlap
CLERK, SUPERIOR COUR'
11/08/2018 9:01AM
BY: BACOSTA
DEPUTY

Case No.: S0200CV2018006-6 HON. JOHN F KELLIHER



Craig L. Cline SB#020412/PCC#65448 Cline Law Firm, PLLC Suite 400 4801 East Broadway Boulevard Tucson, Arizona 85711-3638 520.770.7722 Craig@ClineLawAz.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

TERRY PETERSON, a married man, in his sole and separate right

Plaintiff,

V.

16

17

1

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

COMPLAINT

LOCK, STOCK & BARREL LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; WYOMING DREAM, LLC, a foreign limited liability

DREAM, LLC, a foreign limited liability company; and JULIE A. and FRANK C. PETERSEN, husband and wife,

Defendants.

Terry Peterson, a married man, in his sole and separate right ("Terry" or "Plaintiff"),

by and through undersigned counsel and for his claims against the above-named

Defendants ("Defendants"), alleges as follows:

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This action is based upon the intentional wrongdoing by Defendants against 1. Plaintiff related to an agreement for the consignment of Plaintiff's property.
- The agreement between the parties was entered into in the County of 2. Cochise, State of Arizona.
- 3. The acts and omissions supporting this claim occurred in the County of Cochise, State of Arizona.
- Defendant Lock, Stock & Barrel LLC is an Arizona limited liability 4. company ("LSB").
- Defendant Wyoming Dream, LLC is a foreign limited liability company 5. ("Wyoming Dream") duly registered in the State of Arizona.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants Julie and Frank Petersen 6. ("Petersen") are residents of the County of Cochise, State of Arizona.
 - The amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold for this Court. 7.
- For these reasons, this Court has jurisdiction over the controversy stated 8. herein, and venue is properly situated in the County of Cochise, State of Arizona.

ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff is a married man residing in Arizona, acting in his sole and separate 9.
- Defendants Petersen are a married couple residing in Cochise County, 10.

Arizona.

right.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

26

- At all times relevant to this action, Defendants Petersen were acting for the 11. benefit of their marital community.
- At all times relevant to this action, LSB operated a firearm and ammunition 12. store in Sierra Vista, Arizona.
- At all times relevant to this action, Wyoming Dream was the manager and 13. sole member of LSB.
- At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Julie Petersen was the manager 14. and sole member of Wyoming Dream.
- In 2015, Plaintiff entered into one of several consignment agreements with 15. LSB where LSB agreed to sell Plaintiff's firearms, ammunition, knives, merchandise, and gear (collectively, the "Property").
 - Each consignment agreement was in writing. 16.
- Each consignment agreement provided an inventory of the Property to be 17. sold and stated that LSB would be paid twelve percent (12%) of the sale amount ("Commission").
 - At all times relevant, Plaintiff dealt with Defendant Julie Petersen. 18.
- In 2016, Defendant LSB agreed in writing to reduce the Commission from 19. twelve percent (12%) to five percent (5%).
- Over the next several months, Defendants sold Plaintiff's Property pursuant 20. the parties' agreements.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

21.	Instead of keeping 5% of the sale amount, Defendants kept almost all of the
nonev gene	rated from the sale of the Property

- In all, Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff \$24,460.58 from the sale of 22. Plaintiff's Property.
- Unbeknownst to Plaintiff, shortly after entering into the consignment 23. agreement with LSB, LSB began suffering financial difficulty.
- Upon information and belief, this financial difficulty resulted in creditors and 24. lenders seeking collection and recourse against LSB, Wyoming Dream and Defendants Petersen.
- Desperate, Defendants engaged in a concerted and calculated effort to keep 25. the money generated from the sale of Plaintiff's Property.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants used some or all of the money 26. generated from the sale of Plaintiff's Property to pay creditors and/or lenders of LSB, Wyoming Dream and Defendants Petersen.
- Despite demand, Defendants have failed and refused to pay Plaintiff for the 27. money generated from the sale of Plaintiff's Property.

COUNT ONE **BREACH OF CONTRACT**

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations stated above as if fully set forth herein. 28.
- Plaintiff and Defendant LSB entered into several written agreements for the 29. consignment of the Property.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 30. Pursuant to these agreements, Defendant LSB agreed to sell Plaintiff's Property and, in exchange, Defendant LSB would keep a 12% Commission.
 - 31. Defendant LSB later agreed to reduce the Commission to 5%.
- 32. Defendant LSB did not pay Plaintiff according to the consignment agreements.
- Instead, Defendants kept the money from the sale generated by Plaintiff's 33. Property for their own personal gain and use.
- In failing to pay Plaintiff the money generated from the sale of his Property, 34. Defendant LSB has breached the parties' agreements.
- Despite demand, Defendants have failed or refused to pay Plaintiff the 35. money generated from the sale of the Property.
 - As a result of these breaches, Plaintiff has been damaged. 36.
- Plaintiff has employed an attorney to bring and prosecute this action, and as 37. this matter arises under a contract, Plaintiff is entitled to recover his attorney fees and costs pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-341 and 12-341.01.

BREACH OF DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations stated above as if fully set forth 38. herein.
 - Implied in every contract is the covenant of good faith and fair dealing. 39.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

26

- 40. By failing to pay Plaintiff the money generated from the sale of the Property in violation of the consignment agreement, Defendant LSB has breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 41. Furthermore, upon information and belief, Defendant LSB has engaged in self-dealing with Plaintiff's money in violation of the parties' agreements and in breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 42. Plaintiff has employed an attorney to bring and prosecute this action, and as this matter arises under a contract, Plaintiff is entitled to recover his attorney fees and costs pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-341 and 12-341.01.

COUNT THREE CONVERSION

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations stated above as if fully set forth 43. herein.
 - Plaintiff entrusted Defendants with his Property. 44.
- Plaintiff understood and agreed that when the Property was sold, Defendants 45. would keep 5% of the sales price and Plaintiff would receive the remaining amount.
- Defendants did in fact sell Plaintiff's Property and kept the sale proceeds for 46. their own personal use and gain.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants used Plaintiff's Property, and the 47. money generated from the sale thereof, to pay lenders and creditors.

48. Defendants have knowingly, intentionally, and wrongfully took Plaintiff's Property and have knowingly, intentionally, and wrongfully failed to pay Plaintiff for the money generated from the sale of Plaintiff's Property.

COUNT FOUR FRAUD

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations stated above as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. Defendants made certain representations related to the consignment of Plaintiff's Property.
- 51. Defendants told Plaintiff that Plaintiff would be paid from the sale of Plaintiff's Property.
 - 52. Defendants knew these representations were false.
- 53. Defendants knew Plaintiff would rely on these representations and that Plaintiff did not know that these representations were false.
 - 54. Plaintiff relied upon these representations, which he was entitled to do.
- 55. Defendants acted knowingly, intentionally and with reckless disregard to Plaintiff's rights.
 - 56. Defendants acted in a way intended to deceive Plaintiff.
 - 57. As a result of this fraudulent misconduct, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT FIVE UNJUST ENRICHMENT

58. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations above as if fully set forth herein.

15

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

59.

60.

generated from the sale of Plaintiff's Property.

pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-341 and 12-341.01.

5 Defendants have used Plaintiff's Property for their own financial gain and 61. 6 in violation of Defendant LSB's agreement with Plaintiff. 7 62. As a result of Defendants' misconduct and breaches, Plaintiff has been 8 damaged. 10 63. There is no remedy at law available to Plaintiff. 11 64. Plaintiff has employed an attorney to bring and prosecute this action, and as 12 this matter arises under a contract, Plaintiff is entitled to recover his attorney fees and costs 13

There is no justification for Defendants' actions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. Award Plaintiff his contract damages resulting from Defendant LSB's breaches to the parties' consignment agreement;

Defendants have wrongfully misappropriated or otherwise taken the funds

- Award Plaintiff his tortious damages resulting from Defendants' conversion B. of Plaintiff's Property;
- Award Plaintiff his tortious damages resulting from Defendants' fraud; C.
- Award Plaintiff his reasonable attorney fees incurred in prosecuting this D. action;
- Award Plaintiff his costs; and E.
- Award Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper. F.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED November 7, 2018.

CLINE LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/ Craig L. Cline
Craig L. Cline
Attorneys for Plaintiff